PKP 2017 Montréal

Analysis of the use of OJS interoperable resources

Study since the journals included in SCRCyT, Conacyt, Mexico

Rosario Rogel–Salazar, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México

D0000-0002-6018-0635

Trinidad Monroy– Vilchis, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México

0000-0002-6270-5116

Irvin Santiago-Bautista, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana-Azcapotzalco

D 0000-0002-1585-336X

Néstor Daniel Martínez–Domínguez, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana–Azcapotzalco





The context

- → We analyse interoperability challenges of journals included in the Classification System of Scientific Journals of Science and Technology, sponsored by the Council of Science & Technology of Mexico (SCRCyT-Conacyt, Mexico).
- → According with information provided by Conacyt, 80% of journals included in SCRCyT are using OJS, but most of them only as a publishing platform, not as an editorial manager.

Journals included in SCRCyT-Mexico	192
Journals with OJS platform	110
(as an cultonal manager)	(57.29%)





Objectives of this research

- → As each one of the 110 OJS journals has different usage levels, we identify the main problems present for exchanging information with various platforms and databases: DOAJ, Scielo, Dialnet & Redib.
- → The problems that we identify are grouped into two kinds:
 - Those attributed to the lack of technical training for the journal editorial teams; and,
 - Those related to the conditions of interoperability of the platform itself, or that of other systems.





The main goal

Understanding the causes behind these interoperability problems contributes to the generation of technical improvement proposals for different scientific information platforms as well as the training of the editorial teams themselves





Methodology

- From April to June of 2017 we identify the usage levels of OJS editors of Conacyt journals.
- During July 2017 a survey was conducted via Google Forms to 20 editors in order to identify the following issues:
 - Type of use of OJS
 - Degree of knowledge on the use of Metadata Harvesting
 - Use of OAI–PMH
 - Interoperability with other databases (DOAJ, SciELO, Dialnet, REDIB)
 - Major problems for the use of OJS





Results

n=192 journals included in SCRCyT-Conacyt

110 journals are using OJS as an editorial manager (57.2%), although it is necessary to know how many journals are using OJS only as publishing platform.

DOAJ



38% (42) indexed

- 11 fed by the journal
- 6 fed by Scielo
- 18 fed by Redalyc
- 6 basic data (no fed)

98.1% (108) indexed

- 85.4% (94) Current titles
- 12.7% (14)
 Non-current titles



60% (66) indexed

- 20 full text- stored
- 37 full text accessible
- 8 non-full text
- 1 some items stored and others accesibles



39% (43) indexed.





Variable	Results	
Use of OJS	86.7% indicated that they use OJS as a manager and as a publishing platform. 13.3% don't know.	
Use of OAI–PMH	46.2.% unsure use of OAI-PMH 38.5% don't know	
Interoperability with other databases (DOAJ, SciELO, Dialnet, REDIB)	 46.7% confirm being harvested by an aggregator or database and 40% don't know it. 60% don't know if their metadata meets quality standards for exchange with other databases and aggregators. DOAJ: of those that are included, 26.7% are harvested by the OJS site itself and 13.3% from Redalyc. For the fed to DOAJ, the editorial assistant (15.4%), Redayce (15.4%) and some area of the institution (7.7%). Dialnet: of indexed journals, 33% is done from the OJS site; 26.7% don't know. Redib: 33.3% indicated that metadata are harvested from the OJS site, 20% don't know. 73.3% don't know with what other sites the journal could exchange metadata. 	





Results: Knowledge about Metadata Harvesting

Recuperación de los datos importantes de un trabajo.

Para localizar de manera efectiva los artículos académicos que estan en acceso abierto y que además los artículos que se publiquen sean perfectamente recuperables.

Para aparecer en bases de datos

Para indizar los documentos electrónicos y aumentar su visibilidad, y hacer estadísticas.





Results: Problems using OJS platform

No siempre nos llegan los mensajes y al parece algunos autores no notificaciones que se fueron por este
medio.

La utilización de algunos Plug in que no tenemos habilitados, las diferentes versiones del OJS y la falta de conocimiento para utilizarlo al cien por ciento.

Falta de información en torno a cómo exportar metadatos.

No se envian correos continuamente.

Todavía no lo usamos completamente

- 1. Es complicado convertir los archivos al formato XML JATS SciELo
- 2. Conectar gestores Bibliográficos (gratuitos, como ZOTERO) para impulsar la gestión eletrónica y facilitar la conversión a XML.
- 3. Falta conexión del OJS con identificadores como el ORCID





Conclusions (1)

- The journals analyzed have metadata exchange tools with other bases and aggregators, so the main challenge is not related to the technological infrastructure, but to the professionalization of the editorial work (human interoperability).
- Editors opt for what means less work like being harvested by other aggregators even if it means losing visibility. They don't know about the operative functions of the software that they use.





Conclusions (2)

- In Mexico, the institutions don't have specialized jobs in scientific publishing, nor do they offer opportunities to professionalize editorial work. Most editors are self-taught.
- For future research it will be important to analyze the perspective of the editorial teams about the additional value that each database and aggregator gives to the visibility of the journals, and the decisions that each team makes regarding technical actions that must be implemented.





Thank you!





Rosario Rogel–Salazar	@rosariorogel	rosariorogel@gmail.com
Trinidad Monroy–Vilchis	@Trini_Monroy	trini.monroy@gmail.com
Irvin Santiago–Bautista	@igniz666	irvinpavel.santiago@gmail.com
Néstor Daniel Martínez– Domínguez	@nesdaniel	<u>nestordmd1@gmail.com</u>

Available in:

PKP

MONTRÉAL





